

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/916,792	07/27/2001	Sean James Martin	GB920010043US1	8788	
75	590 05/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
A. Bruce Clay			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
IBM Corporation T81/503					
PO Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2142		
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/916,792	MARTIN ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Douglas B. Blair	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any each since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 2. The research are advantaged (2) filed of the office to the content of the	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) be filed within the time period set fo	, to avoid dismissal of the appeal. rth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>The new limitations added to some of the independent claims would require further search and consideration.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 					
the non-allowable claim(s).	nowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendment canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-40.		ill be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	lotice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be			

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For reasons discussed previously, the claim language is broad enough to cover the applicant's invention as well as the examiner's invention. The differences between what is being argued and the prior art are understood by the examiner; however these differences are not adequately refelected in the claim language... 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). THE PATENT EXAMINER 3-0-0

13. Other: ___

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20050520